

National Sovereignty under a Common law Jurisdiction, what does this actually mean?

[DAVID ROBINSON · FRIDAY, JUNE 28, 2019.](#)

Firstly this: If you are someone who does no actual research for themselves, and instead 'believes' (Belief: to accept something to be true or false without checking the facts) in what others tell you, then this important information will likely be wasted on you. Do not just believe what is written below the truth is out there. Truth is ALWAYS evidential or it must be classed as hearsay even if it is the truth.

Q: what is common sense?

A: if you need an answer to this question then you may as well not bother reading on. If it isn't already apparent to you that it is simply 'common sense' to defend the laws of the country/realm which evidently defends the people and not the state, then you will unlikely have the common sense to check the facts for yourself. Everything written herein is evidential in fact, not hearsay, nor just my opinion. The constitutional law (although not perfect) coupled with common sense provides us all with a peaceful remedy against the treasonous administration we have allowed, by deception, to run amok. United we will prevail, divided we will certainly fail!

Warning: The common law constitution needs you now. Get informed, get active and get real.

Q: What does National Sovereignty mean?

A: It means that everyone is considered to be equal in law; that we are all the heads of our nation; that we are kings and queens in our own land despite how much wealth we may or may not have. This is why our constitution was so revered by other nations who also adopted its model. It provides the people with real democracy, with a remedy against any wrong doing that will at times occur within any administrative process. Within a common law jurisdiction there is full transparency and accountability over the actions of those who will have been fairly voted into service (not power) as the representatives of the people.

Q: Why is protecting our National Sovereignty so important to us all within Britain and the Commonwealth?

A: Because without it we are not protected by the laws of our land (Constitution); we would be (and are today) considered 'wards' of a nanny state by those who are supposed to serve us; we are regarded as slaves to the rich and powerful under their unconstitutional rules. Worse than that however, because we have allowed the laws of our land to be overthrown by traitors committing High Treason in Parliament etc (handing the policy making powers of our Nation to a foreign entity or Nation, the EU and UN) we are now considered the vassals of the Federal European Superstate despite Brexit (which was a trap)....subject to the EU constitution (Corpus Juris) and its unjust judicial system.

Habeas Corpus (a common law tenet): (the right to be free from incarceration before any evidence has been produced within a court of common law, wherein the evidence provides good reason for someone to be remanded) does not even exist within the EU Constitution. Under the despotic policies of 'Corpus Juris' (Lisbon treaty – EU constitution) you can be arrested and extradited to anywhere within the 'Eurozone' for months, even years without a shred of evidence or even a phone call.

Innocent until proven guilty (common law): is also non existent under the EU's

rules, you are considered guilty until proven not guilty which cannot always be done! Innocence isn't even a recognised plea in their regime.

Double jeopardy (common law): is also ignored within the EU's unjust rules. Under common law you cannot be tried for the same offence twice, under the EU you can be tried for the same offence until the desired verdict has been reached by a panel of judges (not a jury).

Q: What makes the people sovereign over a constitutional monarch or his/her Parliament?

A: The one thing that puts the people in overall charge of how we are happy to be governed (not ruled) within a common law jurisdiction, is by the fact that we have the last say in what laws we are happy to be governed by. This is achieved by properly empanelled jurors within common law courts, who have the right and duty to annul all government created Acts and Statutes if they do not follow the basic principles of the common law of: Cause no harm; cause no loss; keep the peace and honour all agreements made with others. 4 laws that simply cover every eventuality in life.

Furthermore, even after the holder of the office of sovereign (monarch) may have granted government created legislation royal assent, making it into law, the people (jurors) can still then strike it from the Statute books....this is why they admit (but lie to us today) that we are policed by consent. Today we are policed by force and not by consent at all. A constitutional monarch has the duty (Royal Prerogative) under sworn oath (Coronation Oath) to prevent any and all government created legislation from becoming law if it does not comply with said Oath.

ALL of the so called "courts of law" operating in Britain and the Commonwealth today are treasonous. They are corporate run for profit businesses that make a lot of

money from innocent people. They are administrative tribunals, sometimes with a controlled jury (crown court) but they are certainly not common law courts. They do not even recognise the common law constitution within their chambers....no records are kept because they do not want people collecting evidence of the crimes that go on in such places yet, Justice must not only be done, it must also be SEEN to be done. Records of events are collected within common law courts, always. You can find the evidence which proves that the Courts, police, councils and just about everything else, including the United Kingdom (trading as Alastair Darling MP) within Company Check, less and less in Companies House and Dun & Bradstreet because they hide this evidence as best they can.

Q: How can we defend our sovereignty?

A: By simply using the common law constitution together whilst standing defiantly against the demands of today's treasonous administration; by standing assertively together in our sovereign power with absolute 'lawful excuse' to do so as it is morally and lawfully wrong to aid and abet crime (treason etc), or to comply with the crown and its institutions in any way whatsoever at this time, except when absolutely necessary under 'Duress of Circumstances', we can defend our right to self governance with a powerful tool.

Note, it is Sedition (to write or speak in public stating anything that undermines a constitutional monarch, or which incites dissent against the authority of a constitutional monarch) to deny the constitution publicly and, in these treacherous and dangerous times also treason at common law.

To retain our sovereignty peacefully we will have to reassert the common law within the judiciary (within every court room in the land as it should be by law - and within all overseeing judicial offices) and under such conditions (institutionalised corruption) this will require we the people uniting for that very important and

fundamental cause. We are kept divided on so many worthy causes by design. Treason is the reason that all other crimes of the state are allowed to be committed without consequence to the criminals (there is nowhere to get justice without courts of law, obviously), also aided and abetted by the useful idiots within the police who are acting as the gatekeepers protecting those extremely dangerous criminals.

To understand if the above answers are provable facts and not just my opinions, the reader will first need to determine for themselves whether or not we have a written Constitution. Because so many people still trust that politicians would not lie in public, especially on the BBC (which is far from the truth), many (far to trusting) people believe their lies and repeat them parrot fashion, saying that we don't even have a constitution which would be laughable if not so serious.

The evidence (and common sense) proves undeniably that Magna Carta 1215 and the Coronation oath (along with other archived constitutional documents) are written down and available within the public to be read.....finding an accurate translation of Magna Carta can be difficult (it was originally produced in Latin) these days because there is so much propaganda littering the internet, which is designed to make you believe that the 1215 Magna Carta has been repealed and of no use to us today, you have to do more than just a basic search on the internet....sometimes you have to go down 20/30 links before you even get near to the truth.

If you read Magna Carta 1215 you will clearly see that it states within its text that it is "for ever"...it states it in Clause 1; clause 61 and in clause 63. The 'Estates' (wealthy families holding positions of alleged authority and power) attempted to deceive the people (many in the day were illiterate), by producing Magna Carta 1297 (which was in statute form – government created legislation which government could then repeal) into thinking that Magna Carta 1215 had been repealed. That lie is still the current government narrative. It was actually criminal (political subversion) to attempt to bring an agreement made between the people

(represented by the barons in those feudal times) and the monarch into statute form.

Q: What is treason?

Treason: Any act done by a British or Commonwealth Constitutional subject, within or without the realm which is intended to undermine the position and duties of a Constitutional monarch. which duties include protecting the sovereignty of the people by protecting the peoples laws and customs, which are held in trust by a Constitutional monarch under the Coronation Oath. The Monarch has a sworn duty not to grant royal assent to any government Bill or Act that is contrary to the ancient and wise common laws of the people.

Constitutional law consists of agreements created between the people (within feudal times via the barons) and the King. Those agreements were generally hard fought for by the people and barons, which were designed to protect the people from tyrannical administrations, and if not successful in protecting the Sovereign people from such administrations, then to provide a peaceful remedy to stop such unlawful governance if it should arise within 40 days (Clause 61 – the famous 'security clause').

The 1215 Magna Carta (which has evidently not been repealed) brought the King under the common law also. That agreement sealed at Runnymede on the 15th of June 1215, which was reluctantly agreed to under duress because the tyrannical King John did not want to be restricted from taking what he wanted when he wanted from the people, whilst cutting off peoples noses and ears and poking eyes out and stealing their land with the assistance of his mercenaries, he was not happy at being curtailed. Just because he sealed the Magna Carta under duress does not invalidate the treaty in any way whatsoever. Magna Carta 1215 is still an un-repealed agreement/treaty that stands to this very day. Common sense should tell

you that Magna Carta was celebrated in 2015, the royal mint even issued coins in commemoration of it. If it had truly been repealed would we have celebrated it at all? If the regime had not celebrated Magna Carta in 2015 then they would have given too much away with regard to their (ongoing) plot to destroy the sovereignty of our Nation and Commonwealth.

The Coronation Oath was originally not in Statute form. Today it has been made into an Act of Parliament which also undermines the position of a Constitutional monarch. Acts of Parliament can be repealed by Parliament but the Coronation oath was in existence before Parliament was even conceived, and thus cannot be repealed by anyone except the people themselves, nor can it be lawfully created in statute form.

From my research it appears that the first parliament (of sorts) was the Kings court or 'curia regis', which first convened in 1236 and later went on to become parliament. Parliament is a Constitutional monarchs device in which he/she governs the realm. Parliamentary servants of the monarch swear an Oath to the monarch. Said Oaths are extremely important and must be upheld by those in service to the monarch (they are ignored today and few people even demand them), who is in turn is in service to the people under Oath to them.

Today Parliament arrogantly claims to be Sovereign. "Parliamentary Sovereignty" which is a contradiction in terms, and has been bounded about in law (rule) books and other publications for generations now as if it were always the case and without doubt....very few people apply common sense when considering if Parliament could be Sovereign or not, yet the clues still remain. His/Her Majesty's government; His/Her Majesty's Courts (and later added) Tribunals Service (HMCTS) suggest that the Sovereignty remains with the monarch and not Parliament...if the opposite were true, then it would Be titled as 'Parliaments Court and Tribunals Service' would it not?.....they like to keep people confused and don't want to give too much of the

game away either.

The clues still remain with regard to the system of service we should all be enjoying. Public services are no more...they have all but been privatised (stolen because they belong to the people who bought and paid for them via taxes). All of the courts should be services to the people and nobody should need to pay a penny for that service. Check out Article 40 of Magna Carta it clearly says as much. Clause 40. "To no-one shall we sell, to no-one shall we delay or deny right to justice".

Now you pay income tax and other taxes for what?...The councils are also supposed to be in service to the people, they are the local fiscal arm of Her Majesty's government. They have become corporations also and you are now called a "customer" within their address to you. The same can be said about all public services. Because we have had a criminal administration for literally hundreds of years in Britain, which I don't intend to go into in detail here, we have never really enjoyed a system of service as it should have been....we have never had free train and bus travel yet the peoples tax monies built the infrastructure and paid for the vehicles.....Water and sewage services were privatised too, a fundamental requirement for any healthy civilisation is to have clean water and decent sanitation....all paid for again by the people who have, and are being robbed blind! And by the tacit consent of many concept controlled people.

A good example of 'concept control' which I often use to explain how we have been deceived, is the simple changing of the word Service to Power....just about everyone I know repeats the narrative that we vote people into power, or that the Tories/labour are back in power etc....under the laws of our land we ONLY EVER vote people into SERVICE.....they are powerless to act in any way against the common law, which their Oaths of allegiance to a constitutional monarch are sworn upon. Its really not rocket science when these basic facts are pointed out....we have all been lied to and deceived ever since we were born, you are not to blame for not

knowing these things but, if you know and do nothing about it then you are complicit in the crimes of the state.

So what tools (evidenced facts and constitutional laws) can be used against the criminals destroying our Sovereignty?

A: To keep things as simple as possible, the treason evidence (which is abundant) that proves that we have lawful excuse not to aid and abet crime (treason), is a document file which was gleaned from the Public Records Office or National Archives in 2002, which was hidden under the official secrets Act for 30 years, and which contains letters between Edward Heath and his co-conspirators proving that they deliberately hid the fact that Britain would become a vassal state under the EU, and lose its National Sovereignty within 30 years. Said file is known as 'FCO 30/1048 Shoehorned into the EU', and is readily available to the public for scrutiny. And usage.

Another very useful 'tool' which we must all use if we are truly defending the country and the common law, is the invocation of clause 61 (the security clause) of Magna Carta 1215. This clause was invoked on the 23rd of March 2001 after Blair signed the treasonous treaty of Nice (France) on the 26th January 2001, and after a committee of barons petitioned the monarch under the correct protocols of Constitutional law (Clause 61) on the 7th February 2001. Whereas no remedy was forthcoming within 40 days of the petition (she replied on the 39th day), and only with an inadequate reply from Elizabeth II, the quorum of Lords, Viscounts, Dukes etc (25 in total, and selected from an original quorum of 68) invoked the security clause but paid a hefty price it seems.

Lord Hamilton who was the head of the 4 barons who were sent to petition the monarch and his associates, were kicked out of the House of Lords by the imposters who remained. No doubt the despotic state set about them for daring to be so bold

also....Only Lord Craigmyle has ever spoken in public about the petition, and in no way has he attempted to direct the people as the barons should have done, although he will accept Oaths of allegiance. We have a duty to transfer any presumed allegiance from the monarch to the barons until redress of the present wrongs have been remedied in full (that is what we are supposed to do under the security clause).

Because of that we the people have taken up the slack as we must, don't forget we are Sovereign. The barons were acting for the Sovereign people in defence of a constitutional monarch (which we have never really had), in short, they were acting in service to us. The directions of what we must all do by royal command even! (by the command of a properly seated constitutional monarch), is to rise up and seize the crowns assets, distress and distrain the crown and its many institutions in any way we see fit bar causing physical harm to the monarch and his/her heirs, which is the equal consideration within the agreement. This is all plainly seen within the translation of Magna Carta 1215.....I will supply a link to a very expansive PDF of Magna Carta 1215 at the end...those of you who can read Latin can read the original text for yourselves also.

Because the Invocation of Clause 61 was done in accordance with the correct protocols of constitutional law, to even deny its invocation publicly would be at least sedition....imagine half the country standing up to demand that Magna Carta etc is fully recognised by the state, and clause 61 as being in effect....who would have the gaul to publicly deny it?....we have the duty to arrest these traitors and also the idiot police if they wont make the arrests as they must under the law.....obviously millions of people standing as one would be far more powerful than all of the police and security firms in the land, yes they have guns but, I've met a number of police constables and officers, and some of those who work in security firms also who are not happy with what the filthy government is doing. They are as trapped within the regime as the rest of us. If they were faced with millions of people demanding that

they simply observe the truth (evidenced facts) and their oaths of office of constable, many of them would join the people under the protection of the people, of that I have no doubt.

All it takes is numbers and a well organised peaceful strategy for us to be able to sort out our own country and commonwealth, and deal with the many traitors within it. That wouldn't be the end to our problems of course...the EU and UN would do all that they could to propagate to the rest of the world what right wing fascists we were etc.....but, with the repatriation of our military and our weaponry, we would at least be able to defend our lands from those who seek to destroy us.....We also used to do very well trading with the rest of the Commonwealth before we were subjected to the fascist EU, who stopped all that. We don't need trade deals anyway its all bollox....look how they have used that notion time and time again to get countries to sign up to something that is far more insidious than merely a deal for trade. The WTO are closely affiliated with the UN who are evidently not mankind's friends....Agenda 21 and 20-30 prove their wicked intent...they want to be the recognised as the power/authority of the New World Order....they, along with the traitors within our own land are attempting to bring the Commonwealth under the umbrella of the UN...The Commonwealth of Nations is evidence of that.

<http://thecommonwealth.org/media/news/commonwealth-and-united-nations-pledge-work-partners>

If you have read this information to the end so far well done, and thank you. Even if you don't agree with what is contained herein....please check the facts for yourself before we are out of time to bring a peaceful solution into play....5G should be of massive concern to everyone in these very dangerous times....

we are running out of time so unless you want to live within a society that provides no justice, makes huge demands on you, stops you from having any private property or rights to the countryside whilst you live within a microwave soup in a 'smart' city

under microchip control, which you wont survive for many years anyway....just do nothing and allow them to continue their plans.

If however you want to use this knowledge and stand with your Sovereign brothers and sisters, then please join Practical Lawfull Dissent of Fakebook or our sister website 'Denounce The Deception', <https://www.denouncethedeception.co.uk/>

Here is the pdf of Magna Carta 1215: Edition used: Magna Carta: A Commentary on the Great Charter of King John, with an Historical Introduction, by William Sharp McKechnie (Glasgow: Maclehose, 1914).

https://lookaside.fbsbx.com/file/Concise_MagnaCarta.pdf?token=AWwEZApmj2eB3YrokiGqWYxmRuqoNmFfl9DI4D0D3VEBCGq7WjxGJxSPGH8kLKBajktGTj5xKJcWoMTIDMfqZsETq_yLQ8IXIavavxPjt6N50Myb09D2ekKKmoR0bn0qiYl7L6xdntG5YrYyCKsJeEsQ3_kqwJKr53cgBkmed1vIbA

David Robinson.

28-06-2019